## United States District Court

for the

Eastern District of Michigan

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRI	<b>4</b> L
nt )	
al Barth )	
) Case No. 16-30564	
i America	
f America )	

Upon the

Motion of the Government attorney pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or

Motion of the Government or Court's own motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),

the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.

## Part II - Findings of Fact and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)

A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable
presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community because the following conditions have been met:
(1) the defendant is charged with one of the following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):
(a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C.
§ 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or
$\square$ (b) an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death; or
(c) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or
(d) any felony if such person has been convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs
(a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed, or a combination of such offenses; <b>or</b>
$\Box$ (e) any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence but involves:
(i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); (iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; <i>and</i>
(2) the defendant has previously been convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C.
§ 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed; <i>and</i>
(3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was
committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; and
(4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the
defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

$\Delta \Omega 472$	(Rev	09/16	Order of Deten	tion	Pending	Trial
AU 4/2	(IXCV.	02/101	Order of Deteri	ион	I CHUIHE	HHAI

☑B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a
rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:
$\square$ (1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
☐ (3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
∑(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
□ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
☐ The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above.
OR
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
⊠By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.
☐ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:
Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong
Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
Prior criminal history
Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
TI'
History of violence or use of weapons
History of violence of use of weapons  History of alcohol or substance abuse
<u> </u>
History of alcohol or substance abuse
☐ History of alcohol or substance abuse ☐ Lack of stable employment
<ul> <li>☐ History of alcohol or substance abuse</li> <li>☐ Lack of stable employment</li> <li>☐ Lack of stable residence</li> </ul>

## 2:16-cr-20831-VAR-MKM Doc # 10 Filed 12/16/16 Pg 3 of 3 Pg ID 18

AO 472 (Rev. 09/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial
☐ Lack of legal status in the United States
☐ Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration
Prior failure to appear in court as ordered
Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement
Use of alias(es) or false documents
☐ Background information unknown or unverified
Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release
OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:
The Court's findings and reasons for ordering detention, including a discussion of each of the factors specified in 42 U.S.C. § 3142(g), were stated on the record at the December 16, 2016 hearing and are fully incorporated by this reference. As noted above, the Court finds probable cause to believe that the defendant committed the crimes of receipt of child photography and possession of child photography in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2252A(a)(2) and 2252A(a)(5(B) respectively, and that there is, accordingly, a presumption in favor of detention in this case. Defendant has not overcome that presumption. Even if the defendant had overcome the presumption in favor of detention, the Court makes the alternative finding that the Government has proven by clear and convincing evidence that there is no condition or combination of conditions which will reasonably assure the safety of the community. This evidence was discussed on the record in support of the Court's reasoning and is incorporated by this reference as though fully restated herein, and includes evidence that the defendant has shown an ongoing sexual interest in young children going back for over two decades. Reports made by Victim #1 (V-1) to law enforcement both in 2011 and in 2016, regarding vaginal fondling perpetrated upon her by the defendant (her uncle) when she was 8 or 9 years old in the 1990s, as well as a report made to her cousin much closer in time to this event, are largely consistent. These reports indicate that the defendant used his status as a doctor to have her remove her clothes, let him carry her to a couch and sit on his lap, in the guise of providing medical care, so that he could sexually abuse her. When she attempted to get away, he held her down on his lap. In 2011 while at a family reunion, he gratuitously offered to watch her young daughter and told V-1 that her daughter "is safe" with him, prompting V-1 to report the assault which occurred during her own children. V-1 further stated that she hated hugging the defendant when she was g
Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention
The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences of being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

s/Anthony P. Patti United States Magistrate Judge

Date:

12/16/2016

Page 3 of 3